

whenever applicable:

- | | |
|-------------------------------|---|
| A. Functional Considerations: | Does the system perform properly? Does the layout of the building permit proper function? |
| B. Structural Considerations: | Is the system physically sound? Is the building in a good state of repair? |
| C. Site Considerations: | Is the site of the facility spacious enough for its proper functioning? Is there room enough for the anticipated expansions? Are the other site characteristics such as topography, soil condition, etc. suitable for the facility to be located thereon? |
| D. Locational Considerations: | In view of the local growth and internal shift trends, is the site of the facility properly located so that it is convenient to the majority of its users? Is its location conducive to optimal operation? |

The results of the analysis based upon the above considerations lead directly to the fourth step - the recommendations. The standards against which the recommendations were measured were tailored to suit particular local situations. It is hoped that through this approach, the recommendations outlined in this study offer a better fit, making them more feasible for implementation in Selma.

Special Emphasis and Recent Trends

Throughout the study special emphasis is given to the expected future growth of Selma based upon past trends and present estimates of urban expansion in the area. According to the "Population and Economy" report for Selma by DCP, the population in 1960 was 3,102. The expected population for 1970 and 1980 was 3,491 and 3,814 respectively. The expected population for 1965 was approximately 3,297. In January of 1965, a special census was made and Selma was found to have a population of 3,197 or 100 people less than was expected. This can be explained by the lack of annexation. In the past, much of Selma's growth has taken place beyond its corporate limits. Annexation has kept pace with the